BOB Financial Solutions Limited RFP #: BFSL /SYS/ RFP/20-21/05



Pre-Bid responses dated 17-08-2020

| Sr. No. | Pg No | Point No | Tender Original Clause | Clarification | Request for Change / Modification / Addition / Deletion | Response |
|---------|------------|----------|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | . 10 of 49 | | Section 3 - Scope of Work - Lead Management Requirement | No. of Bank Branches | Request for further understanding | Initially more than 4000 and would move to 8000 |
| | | | | How many Sales people are mapped to a single Branch | Request for further understanding | One sales person will manage 3-4 branches |
| | | | | Do Field CSE carry any targets / Goals? | Request for further understanding | yes they do have monthly targets |
| | | | | Please provide a high level view of your lead management process | Request for further understanding | This is already mentioned in the scope of work. |
| | | | | Any specfic notifications need to be built? | Request for further understanding | This is already mentioned in the scope of work. |
| | | | | Any custom report need to be built? | Request for further understanding | This is already mentioned in the scope of work. |
| | | | | Are you looking at manual or auto allocation of leads? If auto allocation, any paramters to be considered for lead allocation? | Request for further understanding | Yes lead allocation will be automatic bases on CRE mapped to branch. |
| 2 | 12 of 49 | | Section 3 - Scope of Work - Technical Requirements Scope | It will be helpful if you can share the initial set up details for the following: | | |
| | | | | a. One time lead setup volume | Request for further understanding | 200 users (zone wise) |
| | | | | b. Incremental expected leads volume | Request for further understanding | 100 users |
| | | | | c. Do we need to integrate with any | Request for further understanding | Required for internal users and not required for external users |
| | | | | d. Do we need to integrate with SMS gateway | Request for further understanding | YES |
| 3 | 13 of 49 | | Section 3 - Scope of Work - Interfaces & Integration | What integration approach will be considered? API/SFTP? | Request for further understanding | Both |
| | | | | No. of system integrations you are looking at in this project? | Request for further understanding | At this point 3 but additional integrations depending on the current on-going projects |
| | | | 9- Bi-directional integration with COS, to get application status and update into LMS too | | | There should be provision for defining simple BRE apart from the BRE engine in CO: |
| 1 | 9 & 11 | 1: | 1 11 - Integration with BRE System | confirm | | |

| | 1 | | | | 1 Di Directional |
|---|-----|----|--|--|---|
| | | | | | 1. Bi-Directional |
| | | | | | 2. Bi-Directional |
| | | | | | 3. Real Time and Batch |
| | | | | Could you please fill integration matrix | 4. SOAP and REST APIs |
| | | | | in Integration Matrix worksheet? | |
| | | | | - High level usecases (Inbound or | |
| | | | | Outbound to Salesforce OR Bi- | |
| | | | | Directional) | |
| | | | | - Direction to Saleforce (Inboud, | |
| | | | | Outbound, BI-directional) | |
| | | | | - Frequency (Real Time / Near Real | |
| | | | The selected vendor will be responsible | Time/ Batch) | |
| | | | for successful data integration with | - Expected Integration Mechanism (| |
| | | | existing customer data available with | SOAP/REST APIs, FTP, Asynchronous | |
| 2 | 1 | 10 | O the Company. | Messaging, Middleware Tool) | |
| - | | | Multipoint integration should be | Wessaging, Wildare Ware 1991) | We are asssuming that LMS partner APIs are |
| | | | available i.e integration with BFSL | Assuming System API is already in | developed and open for customizations. At |
| | | | system at various stages within the | place b/w Mule and BFSL sytsem . Also | BFSL few APIs are ready and few more under |
| | | | given | Process API is available for salesforce | developmnent |
| 2 | 1.4 | 1 | 4 flow | to consume. Please confirm. | developmnent |
| 3 | 14 | 1, | 4 110W | to consume. Please confirm. | TRAINING CAN BE CONDUCTED WIDTHAL OR |
| | | | The Mandania varyingd to muchida | | TRAINING CAN BE CONDUCTED VIRTUAL OR |
| | | | The Vendor is required to provide | | PHYSICAL DEPENDENT ON BUSINESS |
| | | | training to the Company's Operations | | REQUIREMENTS. TRAINING NEEDS TO BE |
| | | | and Management teams on the | | CONDUCTED ACROSS THE COUNTRY. |
| | | | proposed | | |
| | | | solution, provide a training schedule | | |
| | | | and furnish training details as per the | At how many locations training has to | |
| 4 | | 14 | 4 RFP requirements at all major locations. | be conduct? | |
| | | | | | We are not clear with the query, but we |
| | | | | Do you have a requirement of branded | require Mobile App where it will display |
| | | | Mobile application should be optimized | mobile app with offline capabilities for | BFSL branding for BFSL and Offroll |
| | | | | any subset of users e.g. offroll | Employees |
| 5 | 15 | 1: | 3 and tablet. | employees? | |
| | | | The solution should be able to integrate | | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | | | with BFSL and Partner of BFSL for SMS | What is the current SMS provider used | partner for LMS |
| 6 | 10 | 14 | 4 service and Email Service | by BOBF ? | |
| | | | The selected partner application should | | Irrespective of the volume the migration |
| | | | be available on either on cloud or on- | | capability should be there as mentioned in |
| | | | prim. It should be compatible to migrate | Can you elaborate on volume of | the requirement |
| | | | from cloud to on-prim and vis-a-vis. The | | |
| | | | data migration in such cases should be | | |
| 7 | 21 | 1 | 3 seamless. | SF based LMS? | |
| | | | Vendor has to customize, implement, | | Maintenance will be on renewable on |
| | | | train, roll-out and maintain the | Kindly provide expecting duration of | annual basis |
| 8 | 1 | 1: | 3 interfaces | maintenance / support ? | |
| | | | | , , , , , , , | Already provided in RFP |
| | | | | Could you please user count and | <u> </u> |
| | | | | highlevel features againt each user- | |
| | | | | type. This will help us in determining | |
| | | | 2.2 Year on Year Volume Projections | License Type and corresponding | |
| 9 | | | 9 (Tentative) | License Count for TCO. | |
| | | : | JI(Terriative) | Elective count for TCO. | |

| | 1 | | T | | T | 1 |
|-----|-------------|----------|---|---|--------|---|
| | | | | | | Currently data is not avaiable with us. |
| | | | | Could you please fill user count and | | |
| | | | | highlevel features againt each user- | | |
| | | | | type in Users-Feature Matrix | | |
| | | | | 7. | | |
| | | | 2.2 Voor on Voor Volume Projections | worksheet. This will help us in | | |
| 1.0 | | 0 | | determining License Type and | | |
| 10 | ' | 9 | (Tentative) | corresponding License Count for TCO. | | For DECL organization and maintains AD |
| | | | | Do you use any enterprise IDD o a | | For BFSL employee we require AD |
| | | | | Do you use any enterprise IDP e.g. Active Directory for user | | integration for external staff AD intergration |
| | | | | • | | may or maynot required |
| | | | | authentication? Do you have a | | |
| | | | 2.2 Voor on Voor Volume Projections | requirement of user provisioning to | | |
| | | 0 | 2.2 Year on Year Volume Projections | manage activation and deactivation of | | |
| 11 | · <u> </u> | 9 | (Tentative) | user accounts? | | Currently you can provide the details of |
| | | | System should follow all the necessary | Do you have any specific compliance | | Currently you can provide the details of regulatory norms fulfilled by application as a |
| | | | , | , , , , , , | | |
| | | | security and regulatory norms enforced | requirements e.g. PCI, storing the | | part of standard delivery. We can check this |
| 17 | 10 | 12 | from time to time by RBI or any | sensitive data e.g. Aadhar # in | | and can confirm if any more requirements |
| 12 | 19 | 13 | regulatory body. | encrypted format etc.? | | are there. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Could you please fill user count and | | |
| | | | | highlevel features againt each user- | | |
| | | | | type in Users-Feature Matrix | | |
| | | | | worksheet. This will help us in | | |
| | Personas | | | determining License Type and | | |
| 16 | Details | | | corresponding License Count for TCO. | | |
| 10 | ELIGIBILITY | <u> </u> | The bidder should be operating for at | Is IBM partnership nessesary for this | Change | The clause mentioned is by error we have |
| | CRITERIA | 2 | | RFP? | Change | already published revised annexure and |
| | DOCUMEN | | least 2 years as partifer with fibro | NFF: | | appendix |
| 17 | T-2 | | | | | аррепиіх |
| | Main | 7 & 8 | Last date & time for submission of Bids - | Can it be extended by 2 weeks | Change | NO |
| | Document - | , 40 | 19-08-2020 at 3:00 pm, Date and time of | call it be exteriored by 2 weeks | Change | |
| | 6 | | Opening of Eligibility | | | |
| | O | | and Technical Bid | | | |
| 18 | | | - 19-08-2020 at 3:30 pm | | | |
| 10 | Main | 3 | Geo tagging | Do BOB has google geo location api | | BFSL does not have Geo location API |
| | Document - | | | available or we need to factor in that | | |
| | 11 | | | cost also in BOM | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| | Main | 10 | Canalilla. | iS BOB using any middleware or do we | | To begin with it is going to be point to point |
| | Document - | | Capability to support multiple | have to propose a middleware solution | | integration, BFSL is in the process of |
| | 13 | | integration patterns and ease of | also here or its going to be point to | | implmenting middleware system |
| | | | integration with supporting systems | point integration | | , |
| | | | (REST/ SOAP Web services) | , | 1 | |

| - | | T | - | 1 | - | |
|------|-----------|------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | ∕lain | 2 | Further if the selected Bidder has | Is Loan Management System is typo? | Modification | Its a Typo, License will be required for LMS |
| | ocument - | | missed out providing any required | | | |
| 1 | .4 | | licenses to the Company, then the | | | |
| | | | Company will not bear any additional | | | |
| | | | amount for procurement of such | | | |
| | | | licenses at a later date. RFP for Supply | | | |
| | | | and Management of Cloud Based Loan | | | |
| | | | Management Solution Page 15 of 54 | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| - | Bill of | 2 | One time License cost | Is SAAS based product is eligible to bid | | BFSL is open to permenant lincences as well |
| | ∕Iaterial | | | for this RFP, If yes please change this to | | as SaaS based product |
| | Оос | | | annual recerring cost. | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | The bidder should have a minimum | - | Request BOB Financial Solutions Ltd to | No Change in RFP |
| | | A1 - Eligibility | average annual turnover of at least | | consider the same as "The bidder should | |
| | | Criteria) | Rs.50 Lacs over the last three (3) years | | have a minimum average annual turnover | |
| | | | | | of at least "2 Cr" over the last three (3) | |
| | | | | | years | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| | 2 | , | The Bidder should have at least One | Can experience of implementing / | Request BOB Financial Solutions Ltd to | ОК |
| | | | year' experience in | supporting lead management solution | consider the same as "The Bidder should | |
| | | Criteria) | implementation/support of Lead | outside India also be considered ? | have at least One year' experience in | |
| | | | Management System and should have | | implementation/support of Lead | |
| | | | implemented Lead Management | | Management System and should have | |
| | | | System in one Bank / Financial | | implemented Lead Management System | |
| | | | Institutions in India | | in one Bank / Financial Institutions in India | |
| | | | | | / abroad | |
| | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| | 6 | 1.7 Important | Last date & time for submission of Bids | By when we can expect the response | | No we cannot extend the timeline |
| | | Details | | on pre bid queries. As last date for | | |
| | | | | submission of Bids is 19-08-2020, Can | | |
| | | | | we please request for a 2 weeks | | |
| | | | | extension here. This will provide | | |
| | | | | sufficient time after we receive input | | |
| | | | | on pre bid queries | | |
| | | | | , , | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| - | | - | - | What is the expected volume of Leads | - | 4-8 LEADS AND 3-4 APPLICATIONS PER DAY |
| | | | | / Card Applications per day? | | WHICH IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE DEPENDENT |
| 26 | | | | | | ON BUSINESS REQUIREMENT |
| 27 - | | - | - | What is the conversion rate? | - | We will share with shortlisted vendor |

| | 9 2.2 Year on Year Volume Projections | Based on our understanding following personas will use the LMS - Please confirm the number of such users and will they need both web browser and mobile app based access to LMS? 1. CREs or Field Sales + Ops team on payroll of BSFL ~ 200 2. Branch Managers on payroll of BOB ~ 6000 | THE NUMBER OF STAFF IS DEPENDENT ON BUSINESS REQUIREMENT. THE UNDERSTANDING CAN BE TAKEN AS A STARTING POINT WHICH IS BOUND TO CHANGE |
|------|---|---|--|
| 28 | | 3. Off-Payroll Sales Exec e.g. DSAs, Contractual Employees ~1000 On average how many documents will - be uploaded by the CRE per card application? | WHY DO WE NEED TO UPLOAD DOCUMENTS. WE WILL NEED TO HAVE A DOCUMENT REPOSITORY FEATURE FOR |
| 29 | - | Are there any pre-underwriting checks - which will be done by integrating with third parties before passing on the application to COS like CIBIL Score? | After QDE NSDL and CIBIL check to be done. There might be change basis the business decision. |
| 30 | | Besides COS what are the other systems of integration for e.g. marketing tool, etc.? Are there APIs available for integrating with such applications? | there are 2 more systems for integration, APIs to be developed basis the requirements for integration |
| 32 | - | How much historical data need to be migrated and set up in LMS? Please share number of records? | Approx. 6months data, but there might be change basis the business requirement. |
| - | - | For migrating historical transactions, we assume only open leads data needs to be migrated. Please confirm. This means there is no need to migrate old approved or rejected transactions. | All Data |
| 33 - | - | What all master data needs to be set up on LMS - Products etc.? | need to discuss and clarify based on the masters available in your system. The same wil be discussed with shortliested vendor. |
| 35 | - | What are the key KPIs of the field Sales/CREs which need to be tracked? Do we need to do Attendance Tracking, Incentive Calc etc.? | we need to track visit to branches through geo tagging at branches, lead conversion and status (refer to RFP scope of work for more details). For incentive and others we will get in touch with shortlisted vendor. |

| | 11 | Lead | Integration with DDE System | This mantions a DDE system which has | | There will be interaction between IMS and |
|----|----|---------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | Integration with BRE System | This mentions a BRE system which has | - | There will be interaction between LMS and |
| | | Management | | to be integrated with proposed LMS | | BRE. But there should be provision to have |
| | | Requirement | | tool. Can you please share details | | minor BRE . |
| | | | | around it. Will there be only | | |
| | | | | integration between LMS & BRE or also | | |
| | | | | some process / logical flow between | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | the two? | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | We assume all sanctions or approvals | | |
| | | | | rules (soft & hard sanction) will reside | | |
| | | | | in BRE. | | |
| 36 | | | | III BILE. | | |
| 30 | 12 | 21 (RFP | The selected partner application should | Request clarification on the | | BFSL looking for Cloud Solution |
| | | - | | · | - | BEST HOOKING FOR CIOUU SOLUTION |
| | | Document) | be available on either on cloud or on- | requirement and if this is mandatory? | | |
| | | | prim. It should be compatible to migrate | Our understanding is that the | | |
| | | | from cloud to on-prim and vis-a-vis. The | proposed LMS tool be deployed on a | | |
| | | | data migration in such cases should be | public cloud infrastructure? | | |
| | | | seamless. | pasie siona illinasii actare; | | |
| 37 | | | iscarriess. | | | |
| 5/ | | | | Assume a completition | | Van huit if it is quallable in 1840 |
| - | - | - | = | Assume capabilities around OCR, | - | Yes, but if it is available in LMS would be |
| | | | | Branded Mobile App, SMS/WhatsApp | | good |
| | | | | notifications etc. will be handled | | |
| | | | | outside the LMS tool. | | |
| 38 | | | | | | |
| | 11 | Lead | Bi-directional integration with COS, to | Please share details around COS and | | REST and SOAP based APIs |
| | | Management | get application status and update into | also confirm if COS is having REST APIs | | |
| | | Requirement | | capability for integration | | |
| 39 | | Requirement | LIVIS tool | capability for integration | | |
| 33 | 11 | Lead | Goo tagging | Could you please confirm that CRE will | | CRE has to check in or an automatic check in |
| | | | Geo tagging | | | |
| | | Management | | check in using LMS mobile app Or | | if possible can be enabled upon visit to |
| | | Requirement | | there is already a check in application | | branch. |
| | | | | that has to be integrated with LMS | | |
| 40 | | | | | | |
| | 12 | Lead | Setup interface for Off role Employees | Will OFF role employee have access to | | Off role employee to have option of lead |
| | | Management | | entire Lead management application | | upload and check status. |
| | | Requirement | | or only a limited functionalities i.e. | | |
| | | 4 | | only Lead creation and Upload Lead | | |
| | | | | only Lead creation and opioud Lead | | |
| 41 | | | | | | |
| 41 | 24 | 8.2 Indemnity | The Selected Vendor shall indemnify the | We would like to negotiate on this | | At actuals max capping of 1year |
| | 54 | o.z mueminty | * | _ | | At actuals max capping of Tyear |
| | | | | clause in case the engagement / | | |
| | | | • | project is awarded to Deloitte . | | |
| | | | employees, personnel, officers, | | | |
| | | | directors, (hereinafter collectively | | | |
| | | | referred to as "Personnel") harmless | | | |
| | | | from and against any and all losses, | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | liabilities, claims, actions, costs and | | | |
| | | | expenses (including attorneys' fees) | | | |
| | | | relating to, resulting directly or | | | |
| | | | indirectly from or in any way arising out | | | |
| | | | of any claim, suit or proceeding brought | | | |
| | | | against the Company as a result of: | | | |
| 42 | | | lagamst the Company as a result of. | | | |
| 42 | | | | | | |

| neet BFSL requirement ned on website that a law, regulation or ilar import, or a |
|--|
| ned on website that a law, regulation or |
| ned on website that a law, regulation or |
| ned on website that a law, regulation or |
| ned on website that a law, regulation or |
| ned on website that a law, regulation or |
| ned on website that a law, regulation or |
| ned on website that a law, regulation or |
| that a law, regulation or |
| that a law, regulation or |
| that a law, regulation or |
| that a law, regulation or |
| that a law, regulation or |
| _ |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| ilar import or a |
| nai import, or a |
| ding cases where |
| constitution has |
| der's performance of |
| nissible or in conflict |
| or professional rules |
| " |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1 |

| | | To the second second | T | I |
|----|------------------|---|--|--|
| | 37 Point 2 and 3 | In the event of a termination of the | In such unforeseen scenario, what will | Payment will be done in such unforseen |
| | | Contract by the Company, the Bidder | happen to the payment up to the date | scenerio basis approval from business team |
| | | shall do all such acts or deeds as may be | | or stakeholder |
| | | required to fully compensate the | payment clauses to support and | |
| | | Company for all expenditure incurred by | | Support and Maintenance cost will be paid |
| | | the Company in executing or obtaining | 12 months period | as when required - payment terms 30days. |
| | | the execution of the Project, till such | | Basis Approval from stakeholder |
| | | time of termination and for any removal | | |
| | | and/or relocation that may be required | | |
| | | by the Company following such | | |
| | | termination. The Company shall not | | |
| | | bear any liability in this regard. The | | |
| | | company shall recover all the cost of | | |
| | | replacing vendor and or the company | | |
| | | shall impose the liquidated damages. In | | |
| | | the event of the Company | | |
| | | communicating its intention to | | |
| | | terminate the Contract, selected bidder | | |
| | | shall continue to render such Services as | | |
| | | it is required to under this RFP/bid and | | |
| | | subsequent Contract, including but not | | |
| | | limited to Facilities Management, | | |
| | | support and maintenance for the | | |
| | | Deliverables for a period up to 12 | | |
| | | months following notice of intention to | | |
| 47 | | termination, until such time that the | | |
| | 37 Point 3 | In the event of the Company | In such unforeseen scenario, what will | Payment will be done in such unforseen |
| | | communicating its intention to | happen to the payment up to the date | scenerio basis approval from business team |
| | | | | or stakeholder |
| | | its policy or Business Practice or any | payment clauses to support and | |
| | | other reason which may arise due to | maintenance for the deliverables for | Support and Maintenance cost will be paid |
| | | unforeseen circumstances, selected | 12 months period | as when required - payment terms 30days. |
| | | bidder shall continue to render such | | Basis Approval from stakeholder |
| | | Services as it is required to under this | | F.F. 1 |
| | | RFP/bid and subsequent Contract, | | |
| | | including but not limited to Facilities | | |
| | | Management, support and maintenance | | |
| | | for the Deliverables for a period up to | | |
| | | 12 months following notice of intention | | |
| | | to termination, until such time that the | | |
| | | Company indicates that it has been able | | |
| | | to make alternative arrangements for | | |
| | | the provision of such Services, in | | |
| | | accordance with the terms, including | | |
| | | those pertaining to payment, contained | | |
| | | herein. | | |
| 48 | | nici cin. | | |
| 70 | | 1 | 1 | |

| | | | | l | | |
|----|----|------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | Data | The selected Bidder will assist the | We believe that BoB financials IT team | | NDA will be signed. But selected partner to |
| | | Migration | company in migration exercise without | will own the data migration activity at | | own the data migrartion . |
| | | | any cost to the company. | Production environment as it might be | | |
| | | | | having end customers confidential | | |
| | | | | info. Please confirm this. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | | |
| | 39 | Warranties | Selected bidder shall provide all other | Please elaborate on "Other services" | | No Change in RFP |
| | | | services as may be agreed to by the | | | |
| | | | parties in connection with the reverse | | | |
| 50 | | | transition services | | | |
| ĺ | 40 | 8.7 | The selected bidder agrees that the | As Deloitte is an Audit firm as well, we | | No Change in RFP |
| | | Assignment | selected bidder shall not be entitled to | request this clause to be mutual | | |
| | | G | assign any or all of its rights and/or | ' | | |
| | | | obligations under this tender and | | | |
| | | | subsequent agreement to any entity | | | |
| | | | including selected Bidder's affiliate | | | |
| | | | without the prior written consent of the | | | |
| 51 | | | Company. | | | |
| 7- | 40 | 8.9 | | Would like to mention that BoB | | We would want access for BFSL related |
| | | | for the Company shall also be subject to | | | documents and instruments which for BFSL |
| | | Records | Regulator/Company inspection. | and audit the relevant documents of | | |
| | | | megalacely company moreculem | BoB financials only. | | |
| | | | | Audit our office and system is not | | |
| | | | | acceptable as Deloitte will have data / | | |
| | | | | information of other clients and it | | |
| | | | | would be breach of confidentiality in | | |
| | | | | • | | |
| | | | | case we allow the client to audit our | | |
| | | | | office / system | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 52 | 44 | Monitoring | Those guidits may include hut are not | Same as above | | We would want access for DESI related |
| | 41 | Monitoring | These audits may include, but are not | Same as above | | We would want access for BFSL related |
| | | and Audit | limited to, a review of: access and | | | documents and instruments which for BFSL |
| | | | authorization procedures, physical | | | |
| | | | security controls, backup and recovery | | | |
| [| | | procedures, security controls and | | | |
| 53 | | 0.1.1 | program change controls. | | | |
| | 41 | 8.14 | All hardware and software must be | This should be discussed between BoB | | Yes |
| | | Guarantees | supplied with their original and | financials and software provider. | | |
| 54 | | | complete printed documentation. | | | |
| | 44 | 8.24 a | The proposed rate of penalty would be | - | The proposed rate of penalty would be | Okay |
| | | | 0.5% of the entire project cost/TCO per | | 0.5% of the entire project cost/TCO per | |
| | | | week of delay or non-compliance | | week of delay or non-compliance for the | |
| | | | | | reasons solely attributable to the bidder. | |
| | | | | | | |
| 55 | | | | | | |

| | - | | | T | T | T |
|----|------|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Any information considered sensitive | Would like to understand the | | |
| | Ir | nformation | must be protected by the selected | parameters / attributes to define an | | The Disclosing Party shall disclose, transmit, |
| | | | bidder from unauthorized disclosure, | information as sensitive information | | reproduce or make available any such |
| | | | modification or access | | | Confidential Information and materials to |
| | | | | | | any person, firm, company or any other |
| | | | | | | entity other than its directors, partners, |
| | | | | | | advisers, agents or employees, sub- |
| | | | | | | . , , |
| | | | | | | contractors and contractors who need to |
| | | | | | | know the same for the purposes of |
| | | | | | | maintaining and supporting the solution |
| | | | | | | provided as a part of the RFP/ Contract on |
| | | | | | | need to know basis. |
| | | | | | | |
| 56 | | | | | | |
| | 46 8 | | The Disclosing Party shall disclose, | - | The Disclosing Party shall disclose, | No Change in RFP |
| | | Disclosing | transmit, reproduce or make available | | transmit, reproduce or make available any | |
| | P | arty Point 1 | any such Confidential Information and | | such Confidential Information and | |
| | | | materials to any person, firm, company | | materials to any person, firm, company or | |
| | | | or any other entity other than its | | any other entity other than its directors, | |
| | | | directors, partners, advisers, agents or | | partners, advisers, agents or employees, | |
| | | | employees, sub-contractors and | | sub-contractors and contractors who need | |
| | | | contractors who need to know the same | | to know the same for the purposes of | |
| | | | for the purposes of maintaining and | | maintaining and supporting the solution | |
| | | | supporting the solution provided as a | | provided as a part of the RFP/ Contract on | |
| | | | part of the RFP/ Contract. | | need to know basis. | |
| | | | part of the KFF/ Contract. | | lieed to know basis. | |
| | | | | | | |
| 57 | | | | | | |
| 37 | 46 8 | 30 | The Receiving Party who receives the | _ | Deloitte may retain such portion of the | ok |
| | | Disclosing | Confidential Information and Materials | | Confidential Information that is required | |
| | | arty Point 1 | agrees that on receipt of a written | | for compliance with its statutory, | |
| | | arty Fornt 1 | | | | |
| | | | demand from the Disclosing Party, | | regulatory or professional conduct | |
| | | | immediately return all written | | obligations. | |
| | | | Confidential Information, Confidential | | | |
| | | | Materials and all copies thereof | | | |
| | | | provided to, or produced by it or its | | | |
| | | | advisers, as the case may be, which is in | | | |
| | | | Receiving Party's possession or under its | | | |
| 58 | | | custody and control | | | |
| | 47 | | The confidentiality obligations shall | - | The confidentiality obligations shall survive | Agree, whenerver it is used or disclosed to |
| | | | survive the expiry or termination of the | | the expiry or termination of the | third party it must be intimated to BFSL. |
| | | | agreement/contract between the | | agreement/contract between the Selected | Duration will be 5 years. |
| | | | Selected Bidder and the Company. | | Bidder and the Company for the period of | · |
| | | | | | 1 year from the date of completion of | |
| | | | | | services. | |
| 59 | | | | | | |
| 55 | | | | 1 | | |

|]. | . | - | - | We believe there is no clause which | "Notwithstanding anything contained in | Aggregate total liability will be One year |
|------|---|--------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | limits bidder's liability so request to | the contract, Client agrees that the | invoice amount. Whereas in the case of |
| | | | | consider this clause. | Vendor/ Bidder / Consultant shall not be liable to Client, for any losses, claims, | selected bidder's liability in case of claims |
| | | | | | damages, liabilities, cost or expenses | against the company resulting from its willful misconduct or gross negligence, loss |
| | | | | | | suffered by the company due to damage to |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | under the contract for the services provided under the contract, except where | selected bidder, its employees and/ or |
| | | | | | such Losses are finally judicially | company due to infringement of patents, |
| | | | | | determined to have arisen primarily from | trademarks, copyrights or such other |
| | | | | | fraud or bad faith of the Vendor/ Bidder / | Intellectual Property Rights or breach of |
| | | | | | Consultant. In no event shall the Vendor/ Bidder / Consultant, be liable for any | confidentiality obligations shall be unlimited |
| | | | | | consequential (including loss of profit and | |
| | | | | | loss of data), special, indirect, incidental, | |
| | | | | | punitive, or exemplary loss, damage, or | |
| | | | | | expense relating to the services provided pursuant to this Contract." | |
| | | | | | parsuant to this contract. | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 60 | 2 | Annexure 1 - | Supporting the fact the bidder should | Our audited financials for 2019-20 are | | We are OK , verified by CA. |
| | | Eligibility | 0 | not ready yet. As such we can provide | | we are ok , vermed by CA. |
| | | | a) Audited/unaudited annual reports for | | | |
| | | | | turnover criteria but won't be able to | | |
| | | | | provide the details as sought under Annexure A1-B2 a) and b). We can | | |
| | | | 1 * | provide all details for 2018-19, 2017-18 | | |
| | | | The certificate should provide the | and also prior years if needed. | | |
| | | | details of net-worth, cash profit and | W | | |
| | | | , | We will be able to share our 2019-20 financials once available by | | |
| | | | | October2020. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 61 | | | | | | |
| | - | - | | We have few deviations over couple of | | |
| | | | | Terms and Conditions. Hope its still fine and will be acceptable to respond | | |
| | | | | to this proposal along with the | | |
| | | | | deviations we have. Kindly confirm. | | |
| 62 | | | | | | |
| 1 02 | | | | | | |

| | | | | , | |
|----|-------|-------------|---|---|--|
| | 1 | 15 | Based on the contents of the RFP, the | Is the hardware (eg:- server, wiring, | Okay |
| | | | selected vendor shall be required to | etc.) to be provided by vendor? As a | |
| | | | independently arrive at a Selection of | LMS vendor, we would like to provide | |
| | | | vendor implementation & support for | the envisaged solution requested in | |
| | | | Lead Management System, which is | the RFP. | |
| | | | suitable for the Company, after taking | We will definitely provide the sizing & | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | into consideration the efforts estimated | - 1 | |
| | | | for implementation of the same and the | to run the LMS solution | |
| | | | resource and the equipment | | |
| 63 | | | requirements. | | |
| | B1 | Annexure 01 | The bidder should be a Company | Will the years of operation as part of | NO |
| | | | Registered under Company act and | the Parent organization will be | |
| | | | should be in business for at least five (5) | considered as "Years in Business"? | |
| | | | years as on March 31, 2020. | Note: We spinned off from our parent | |
| | | | , | organization around 4 years back & we | |
| | | | | are willing to provide undertaking for | |
| | | | | the same. | |
| | | | | the same. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Can this criteria be relaxed to | |
| | | | | accomodate our direct participation? | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 64 | | | | | |
| | B3 | Annexure 01 | The Bidder should have at least One | Can International implementations in | Can be considered , but preference will be |
| | | | year' experience in | Banks/Financial institutions be | always for the Domenstic implementations. |
| | | | implementation/support of Lead | considered for the eligibility? | |
| | | | Management System and should have | considered for the engionity: | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | implemented Lead Management | | |
| | | | System in one Bank / Financial | | |
| 65 | | | Institutions in India | | |
| | | Annexure 02 | Other | Since it is a Pay per user per month | PBG is calculated basis contract value for the |
| | | | | type of commercial model, please | contract term. |
| | | | | elaborate how the Performance | |
| | | | | Gaurantee will be calculated? | |
| 66 | | | | | |
| | 7 | 6 | Last date & time for submission of Bids | Request to pls postpone the | No Extension |
| | , | | land the same and | submission dates to 25th Aug, 2020 | |
| 67 | | | | Sasimssion dates to 25th Aug, 2020 | |
| _ | 5.9.1 | 26 | All envelopes with RFP response should | Given the Covid situation, request you | Yes, Please refer Addendum 1 |
| | 3.3.1 | 20 | | Given the Covid situation, request you | res, riease fefer Addefidufff 1 |
| | | | be submitted to the authorized person | to accept soft copy submission | |
| | | | at the address given in Section | | |
| | | | 1.4-Important Details (Schedule of | | |
| | | | Events, contact & communication | | |
| 68 | | | details etc.) | | |
| | 10 | 6 | Bid Document Cost | How to pay bid document cost? Pls | Please refer Addendum 1 |
| | | _ | | | |
| 69 | | | | share the details | l I |

| | B3 | Annexure 1 | The Bidder should have at least One | Request you to accept this criteria for | | No Change in RFP / Sylvster pls correct |
|----|----|------------|---|--|--|--|
| | DS | Annexure 1 | year' experience in | either Bidder or the OEM. | | ivo change in KFF / Sylvster pis correct |
| | | | 1. | either Bidder of the OEM. | | |
| | | | implementation/support of Lead | | | |
| | | | Management Systemend user security | Relaxing this criteria would help us | | |
| | | | solutions and should have implemented | particpate in this bid with a System | | |
| | | | Lead Management System End User | Integrator\ | | |
| | | | Security (DLP / Encryption & Email | | | |
| | | | Security) in one Bank / Financial | | | |
| 70 | | | Institutions in India | | | |
| | | Annexure 2 | a.Bidder has to provide the experience | Can we provide PO instead of | | Yes we are OK with PO, For overseas |
| | | Annexare 2 | certificate from the relevant Bank/FI as | experience certificate? | | document will be considered if it matches |
| | | | 1 | • | | |
| | | | per the format specified in Appendix 12 | Also, request you to consider overseas | | scope of work but preference is for |
| | | | – Experience format | implementation for scoring purposes | | domestic. |
| 71 | | | | | | |
| | | | Others | Can you pls share details on payment | | Implementation cost will be 30% on issuance |
| | | | | terms? We couldn't find the same in | | of PO within 30 days and 30% starting UAT |
| | | | | the proposal | | and 40% after 30 days of GO Live . User cost |
| | | | | | | per month will be as per user consumption |
| 72 | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 1.1 | Complete set of tender documents may | | We request the following clause to be | Yes! Exemption for MSME. |
| | | | be downloaded by eligible bidder from | | added to the RFP | · |
| | | | the website of the Company, the cost of | | "Exemption from submission of EMD and | |
| | | | tender document should be paid in the | | tender cost shall be given to bidders, who | |
| | | | | | _ | |
| | | | form of Bankers' Cheque / Demand | | are Micro and Small Enterprises(MSE)." | |
| | | | Draft for | | | |
| | | | [INR 1500/-] favouring BOB Financial | | | |
| | | | Solutions Limited payable at Mumbai | | | |
| 73 | | | along with the bid responses. | | | |
| | 11 | 3 | Lead Management Requirement - | Could you please let us know the | | 1) Will be provided upon selection. |
| | | | Integration with BRE System | following: | | 2) NO |
| | | | | 1. Please let us know which is the | | 3) NO |
| | | | | current BRE System. | | |
| | | | | 2. Please let us know that does the BRE | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | exchange data via API | | |
| | | | | 3. Please let us know Is there any other | | |
| | | | | medium to exchange data | | |
| 74 | | | 1 | | | |
| | 14 |] 3 | Interfaces & Integration - RFP for Supply | Could you please let us know the | | Its a Typo |
| | | | and Management of Cloud Based Loan | following: | | |
| | | | Management Solution Page 15 of 54 | 1. Please let us know which is the | | |
| | | | | Cloud Based Loan Management | | |
| | | | | System | | |
| | | | | 2. Please let us know which Cloud is | | |
| | | | | this Loan Management System | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 75 | | | | Deployed | | |
| 75 | 40 | | Did Coqueity / Fornact Manay Dan:- | | We request the following slaves to be | Vac Evamption for MCNAT |
| | 18 | 4.4 | Bid Security / Earnest Money Deposit | | We request the following clause to be | Yes! Exemption for MSME. |
| | | | INR 25,000/- | | added to the RFP | |
| | | | | | "Exemption from submission of EMD and | |
| | | | | | tender cost shall be given to bidders, who | |
| | | | | | are Micro and Small Enterprises(MSE)." | |
| 76 | | | | | | |
| | | | ı | 1 | I . | 1 |

| | | T | I- a a. | I | I.a | 1 |
|----|----|---|--|--|---|---|
| | 19 | 4.4-11 | Performance Guarantee - The successful | | We request you to please change the | No Change in RFP |
| | | | vendor shall provide a Performance | | Performance Guarantee Clause as per | |
| | | | Guarantee within 45 days from the date | | below clause - | |
| | | | of receipt of the order or signing of the | | "The successful vendor shall provide a | |
| | | | contract whichever is earlier in the | | Performance Guarantee within 45 days | |
| | | | format as provided in Appendix-05 to | | from the date of receipt of the order or | |
| | | | the extent of 10% of the total contract | | signing of the contract whichever is earlier | |
| | | | value (5 times of the year 1 TCO) for the | | in the format as provided in Appendix-05 | |
| | | | entire period of the five year contract | | to the extent of 5% of the total contract | |
| | | | plus 6 months and such other extended | | value to be renewed on a year on year | |
| | | | period as the Company may decide for | | basis and such other extended period as | |
| | | | due performance of the project | | the Company may decide for due | |
| | | | obligations. The guarantee should be of | | performance of the project obligations. | |
| | | | that of a Scheduled Commercial Bank | | | |
| | | | | | The guarantee should be of that of a | |
| | | | only. | | Scheduled Commercial Bank only." | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 77 | | | 1 | | | lov |
| | 24 | 5.2 | Authorized Signatory | | We request you to please allow all Bid | ОК |
| | | | | | Documents to be electronically signed. | |
| 78 | | | | | | |
| | 26 | 5.9 | All envelopes with RFP response should | | We request you to please have a mode of | OK. Please refer Addendum towards |
| | | | be submitted to the authorized person | | electronic acceptance of the Bid | Guidelines to submnit Bid -documents online |
| | | | at the address given in Section | | Documents due to the current COVID | |
| | | | 1.4-Important Details (Schedule of | | situation. | |
| | | | Events, contact & communication | | | |
| 79 | | | details etc.) | | | |
| | | Annexure 02 - | Notes - Point a. Bidder has to provide | There is a reference of Appendix 12 in | We request you to please allow us to | OK |
| | | Credential | the experience certificate from the | the Annexure 2 - Credential strengths - | submit a self attested copy of Purchase | |
| | | _ | | | | |
| | | strengths - | relevant Bank/FI as per the format | LMS document, Appendix - 12 is not | Order and a self attested copy of | |
| 1 | | strengths - LMS | relevant Bank/FI as per the format specified in Appendix 12 – Experience | LMS document, Appendix - 12 is not present. | Order and a self attested copy of recommendation letter/completion | |
| | | _ | • | | . , | |
| 80 | | _ | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience | | recommendation letter/completion | |
| 80 | | _ | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience | present. | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format | present. There is a column in the table that | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self- | present. There is a column in the table that | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - | recommendation letter/completion | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| | | LMS | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | A,C& NC as mentioned in Appendix 01 |
| 80 | 31 | LMS 6.1-3-a | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per the following table: | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U or A,C & NC. | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | |
| | 31 | 6.1-3-a Appendix 01 - | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U or A,C & NC. | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | mechanism which automatically routes the |
| | 31 | Appendix 01 - Techno | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per the following table: | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U or A,C & NC. | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | mechanism which automatically routes the leads on the basis of zip code, product of |
| | 31 | Appendix 01 - Techno Functional | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per the following table: | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U or A,C & NC. | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | mechanism which automatically routes the |
| | 31 | Appendix 01 - Techno Functional Requirement | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per the following table: | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U or A,C & NC. | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | mechanism which automatically routes the leads on the basis of zip code, product of |
| | 31 | Appendix 01 - Techno Functional | specified in Appendix 12 – Experience format The Bidder is expected to provide a self-score in the "Vendor Scores" column for each requirement requested for, as per the following table: | There is a column in the table that denotes the Bidder Input (Vendor Score) and has values as S, C & U, but the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document - Scoring Sheet has values in Vendor Score(VS) column as A,C & NC. Could you please let us know which values to use to fill the Vendor Score in the Appendix 01-Techno Functional Requirements - LMS document S,C,& U or A,C & NC. | recommendation letter/completion certificate/ email copy from the customer. | mechanism which automatically routes the leads on the basis of zip code, product of |

| | Appendix 01 - | Line item 17 - Landing Pages | Could you please let us know what do | engaging landing pages to capture leads |
|-----|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Techno | Line item 17 Landing Pages | you mean by Landing Pages, are these | from your inbound marketing content. |
| | Functional | | Landing Pages going to be used for | nom your moodild marketing content. |
| | Requirement | | Lead capturing? | |
| 83 | · | | Lead capturing: | |
| 03 | s - LMS Appendix 01 - | Line item 28 - Interactive Chat Bots | Could you please confirm that we need | Yes. |
| | Techno | Line item 20 miterature enat Bots | to integrate with an existing Chat Bot? | |
| | Functional | | to integrate with an existing char bot: | |
| | | | | |
| 0.4 | Requirement | | | |
| 84 | s - LMS | Line item 30 - Auto Document Capture | Cavid vay places lat us know what de | This are all Tackwiss I require the far which |
| | Appendix 01 - | Line item 30 - Auto Document Capture | Could you please let us know what do | This are all Technical requirement for which |
| | Techno | | you mean by "Auto Document | marks will be given. Please refer Appendix - |
| | Functional | | Capture"? | 01, if vendor has the functionality he will |
| | Requirement | | | mention A and if he needs customization |
| | s - LMS | | | than C and if customization not possible |
| 85 | | | | than NC |
| | | Line item 31 - Electronic Signature | Electronic Signature is available in the | This are all Technical requirement for which |
| | Techno | | standard product. Could you please | marks will be given. Please refer Appendix - |
| | Functional | | share an example for using Electronic | 01, if vendor has the functionality he will |
| | Requirement | | Signature in the process? | mention A and if he needs customization |
| | s - LMS | | | than C and if customization not possible |
| 86 | | | | than NC |
| | Appendix 01 - | Line item 32 - Document/KYC | Document/KYC Verification real time is | This are all Technical requirement for which |
| | Techno | Verification real time | available in the standard product. | marks will be given. Please refer Appendix - |
| | Functional | | Could you please share some use case | 01, if vendor has the functionality he will |
| | Requirement | | for Document/KYC Verification real | mention A and if he needs customization |
| | s - LMS | | time. | than C and if customization not possible |
| 87 | | | | than NC |
| | Appendix 01 - | Line item 35 - Conversion of Document | The RFP mentions "capturing of photo | This are all Technical requirement for which |
| | Techno | to PDF | and uploading into LMS in PDF | marks will be given. Please refer Appendix - |
| | Functional | | format". Could you please let us know | 01, if vendor has the functionality he will |
| | Requirement | | is it for photo or for all documents. | mention A and if he needs customization |
| | s - LMS | | | than C and if customization not possible |
| 88 | 5 25 | | | than NC |
| | Appendix 01 - | Line item 39 - Time Estimation | Could you please let us know what do | This are all Technical requirement for which |
| | Techno | | you mean by Time Estimation. Is it the | marks will be given. Please refer Appendix - |
| | Functional | | time estimation for a lead to get | 01, if vendor has the functionality he will |
| | Requirement | | converted from open to | mention A and if he needs customization |
| | s - LMS | | closed(Won/Lost)? | than C and if customization not possible |
| 89 | 5 25 | | 0.0004(110.1.) 2000)1 | than NC |
| | Appendix 01 - | Line item 42 - Al Powered | Could you please share some use case | This are all Technical requirement for which |
| | Techno | 1 | for this? | marks will be given. Please refer Appendix - |
| | Functional | | | 01, if vendor has the functionality he will |
| | Requirement | | | mention A and if he needs customization |
| | s - LMS | | | than C and if customization not possible |
| 90 | 3 - LIVIS | | | than NC |
| 50 | 9 22 | The selected vendor will be responsible | What is the volume of existing | Will be disclosed post selction. At this point |
| | 2.3 | for successful data integration with | customer data that needs to be | bidder need to confirm the feasibility. |
| | | existing customer data available with | migrated? | bidder need to commit the leasibility. |
| 01 | | | ingrateu: | |
| 91 | | the Company. | | |

| | 10 | 2.4 | The Vendor is required to provide | 1) How many training sessions need to | This detail need to be looked into post |
|-----|----|-----|---|---|---|
| | | | training to the Company's Technology | be planned? | completion of RFP process. IT team to revert |
| | | | teams on the proposed Selection of | 2) What will be the size of the training | on this. |
| | | | vendor implementation & support for | batch? | |
| | | | Lead Management System, provide a | 3) Can a Train the Trainer approach be | |
| | | | training schedule and furnish training | followed? | |
| | | | details as per the RFP requirements at | loneweu. | |
| 92 | | | all major locations. | | |
| 32 | 10 | 3 0 | 4. The Vendor has to size the Solution | 1) Who will provide the hardware? | 1) BFSL for on-Prim |
| | 10 | 3.0 | | ' | , |
| | | | _ | 2) Will BoB arrange for the required | 2) Yes for On Prim |
| | | | to ensure availability, scalability, | hardware based on the sizing done by | 3) Yes |
| | | | redundancy and performance of the | the selected bidder? | |
| | | | solution, and to meet technical and | 3) Does BoB have the data centre | |
| | | | functional requirements as per the | related infrastructure available? | |
| | | | terms of the RFP within the time frame | | |
| | | | prescribed by the Company. | | |
| 93 | | | | | |
| | 11 | 3.0 | Lead Management Requirement | Is there any existing Lead Management | No |
| | | | | System in use by BoB? | |
| 94 | | | | | |
| | 11 | 3.0 | In case of physical application format, | Is data entry a scope of the selected | this is a scope of the selected bidder and is a |
| | | | data entry team (BOB Vendor team) | bidder or is there a separate vendor | fundamental requirement of a LMS tool. |
| | | | would manually insert Lead details from | who is already existing? | |
| | | | physical Applications into LMS tool - | | |
| | | | Physical Application #, Data Entry | | |
| | | | Operator ID, Branch Name, Lead Source, | | |
| | | | Campaign, Applicant details etc., | | |
| 95 | | | , , , , , | | |
| | 11 | 3.0 | To give portal access or link based | What is CRE? | CRE is a vendor staff taking care of business |
| | | | option to Branches to give leads which | | development. |
| | | | gets allocated to the CRE concerned for | | |
| 96 | | | the branch. | | |
| | 12 | 3.0 | Reports & Dashboards | What is the estimated number of | reports to be made on lead conversion |
| | | | | reports to be developed in the LMS? | under various aspects like branch wise, CRE |
| | | | | | wise and team wise , geo tagging report and |
| | | | | | others as per business requirement |
| 97 | | | | | |
| | 12 | 3.0 | Lead Source: | Will the roles in the LMS, be limited to | Currently, it is these 3 roles and later on if |
| | | | The channels through which the leads | these 3 roles or additional roles to be | required additional roles to be accomodated |
| | | | will be captured | considered? If yes, then please specify | hence the tool should have the functionality. |
| | | | BOB Bank Branch Staff | the roles. | |
| | | | 2 Sales Team | | |
| 98 | | | ② Marketing | | |
| | 12 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - | Search related functionalities may | Specify how much difference and BFSL will |
| | | | 6. Capability to handle sub second | have a longer response time, else the | take necessary decision. |
| | | | response time | hardware requirements will be very | |
| | | | | high. Sub second response is ok for | |
| | | | | static page loads. Please confirm if this | |
| | | | | is acceptable | |
| 99 | | | | | |
| | 12 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - | Which is the high volume period? | Will be disclosed post selction. At this point |
| | | | 5. Allow for high capacity to carry out | | bidder need to confirm the feasibility. |
| | | | transactions during high volume period | | |
| 100 | 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

| 101 | 12 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - 8. Ability to work with different browsers, app servers and databases | 1) Please specify the browsers with their versions. 2) The LMS will be having its own database and app servers. Integration with external systems can be through APIs. Please confirm if this is acceptable. | | This will be revealed post selection of the partner for LMS |
|-----|----|-----|---|--|--|--|
| 102 | 13 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - 13. The solution should provide Dev, Test and Prod environment to enable new requirements can be developed, tested and deployed with minimal disruption to business | Our understanding is that the Test and Prod environments will be provided by BoB. Please confirm if this is ok. | | This is cloud based sloution so expecting test and production environment from bidder |
| 103 | 13 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - 14. The solution proposed must be browser & handset agnostic (All Types of Smart-phones & Tablets, makes/ models, OS, including iOS, android etc.) 15. Mobile application should be optimized for any screen size of the mobile device and tablet. | We interpret this statement as the web UI needs to be responsive and there is no separate requirement to develop mobile apps in native / hybrid / iOS / Android / Blackberry. Please confirm the understanding | | Correct it has to Web UI responsive optimized for any screen size, device (Andriod and IOS) and tablet |
| 104 | 13 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - 16. The proposed system must be capable of and compatible for Disaster Recovery & Business Continuity plan Implementation as and when required by client. | BoB along with its infrastructure. | | YES |
| 105 | 13 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - 17. The selected vendor should be capable of providing cloud based LMS including but not limited to providing, third party utilities, testing, providing interfaces required for the Solution. 21. The selected partner application should be available on either on cloud or on-prim. It should be compatible to migrate from cloud to on-prim and vis-a- vis. The data migration in such cases should be seamless. | If the requirement is to have a cloud based LMS, then is there any constraint regarding the cloud provider or the storage location of such cloud provider? | | No |
| 106 | 13 | 3.0 | Technical Requirements Scope - 18. Company during the period of the contract, based on its technical and functional requirements may intend to add additional third party application and interfaces to the LMS. Vendor has to extend all necessary support and assistance for addition to the Solution with the required third party applications and interfaces desired by the Company at no additional costs. | | This is an open ended requirement. Since, no information is available for such third party application now, any integration will need to be treated as a Change Request and the clause of "no additional cost" be removed. | This is valid ask for integration if necessary. |

| 107 | 13 | | Technical Requirements Scope - 20. The selected partner should provide the free upgrade of new version of the application and any new regulatory requirement related to the lead and campaign management covered under the selected application umbrella and within the scope defined in this RFP | | Since new regulatory requirement, which may come in future is not known, any future modification be considered as a Change Request and not be considered a free serrvice | Okay |
|-----|----|-----|---|---|--|---|
| 108 | 13 | 3.0 | | Vendor may not have access to all interfaces being used by BoB. We request BoB to provide the environment and necessary access to the vendor. | | Okay |
| 109 | 14 | 3.0 | Interfaces & Integration: 8. Integration of LMS tool with Card Origination System to know status of the lead. | The details of the interface of the Card Origination System including the parameter details need to be shared. | | This will be revealed post selection of the partner for LMS |
| 110 | 15 | 3.2 | Considering the extensive nature of the assignment and the envisaged relationship with the Bidder, any service, which forms a part of facilities management that is not explicitly mentioned in this RFP as excluded would form part of this RFP, and the Bidder is expected to provide the same at no additional costs to the Company. | | | Requirements which are part of the RFP and which is in relations woith the scope of work for this requirement Bidder is expected to provide services at No additional cost. |
| 111 | 17 | 4.2 | In case of any variation (upward or down ward) in Government levies / taxes / cess / excise / custom duty etc. which has been included as part of the price will be borne by the Vendor. Variation would also include the introduction of any new tax / cess/ excise, etc provided that the benefit or burden of other taxes quoted separately as part of the commercial bid like GST and any taxes introduced instead of Service Tax, VAT or any new taxes (other than excise, custom duties, other duties and associated government levies) introduced after the submission of vendor's proposal shall be passed on or adjusted to the Company. | | The financial quote will be made as per the existing GST rates applicable on the date of submission. We request withdrawal of this clause. We request BoB to allow to keep the professional charges fixed, with GST and any other government charges to be as per the prevalent rules on the date of the invoices. | Tax as applicable by Govt. |

| | | | In | la. 6 (6.1) 6 0 | I | Ia |
|-----|--------|----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | 19, 33 | | Page 19: II. Performance Guarantee - | Please confirm if the scope is for 3 | | Contarct is for 3 years |
| | | | The successful vendor shall provide a | years or 5 years. | | |
| | | | Performance Guarantee within 45 days | | | |
| | | | from the date of receipt of the order or | | | |
| | | | signing of the contract whichever is | | | |
| | | | earlier in the format as provided in | | | |
| | | | Appendix-05 to the extent of 10% of the | | | |
| | | | total contract value (5 times of the year | | | |
| | | | 1 TCO) for the entire period of the five | | | |
| | | | year contract plus 6 months and such | | | |
| | | | other extended period as the Company | | | |
| | | | may decide for due performance of the | | | |
| | | | project obligations. | | | |
| | | | Page 33: Commercial Bid evaluation - | | | |
| | | | The key considerations of the TCO | | | |
| | | | would be the total payouts for entire | | | |
| | | | project | | | |
| 112 | | | through the contract period of 3 years. | | | |
| | 33, 34 | 70 71 | Setup Fee (OTC) | | Payment milestones are not defined. | Already answered above |
| |), J- | 7.0, 7.1 | Setup ree (OTe) | | Please define the milestones. | Alleday answered above |
| 113 | | | | | rease define the finestones. | |
| | 34 | 8.2 | The Selected Vendor shall indemnify the | | The Selected Vendor shall indemnify the | No Change in RFP |
| | | | company, and shall always keep | | company, and shall always keep | |
| | | | indemnified and hold the | | indemnified and hold the | |
| | | | Company, its employees, personnel, | | Company, its employees, personnel, | |
| | | | officers, directors, (hereinafter | | officers, directors, (hereinafter collectively | |
| | | | collectively referred to as | | referred to as | |
| | | | "Personnel") harmless from and against | | "Personnel") harmless from and against | |
| | | | any and all losses, liabilities, claims, | | any and all losses, liabilities, claims, | |
| | | | actions, costs and | | actions, costs and | |
| | | | expenses (including attorneys' fees) | | expenses (including attorneys' fees) upto | |
| | | | | | 1X of the fees received | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 114 | | | | | | |
| | 41 | 8.14 | All hardware and software must be | | All hardware and software must be | BFSL is seeking license and implementation |
| | | | supplied with their original | | supplied with their original and complete | for this RFP, NO hardware is required. |
| 145 | | | and complete printed documentation. | | printed documentation. | |
| 115 | 2 | רח | Annexure 01 - The Bidder should have at | | The Bidder should have at least One year' | No Chango in RED |
| | 2 | В3 | least One year' experience in | | experience in implementation/support of | INO CHAIRE III VEL |
| | | | implementation/support of Lead | | Software Application / Analytics and | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Management System and should have | | Advance Analytics Systems and should have implemented integrated software | |
| | | | implemented Lead Management System in one Bank / Financial | | solution / software products in one Bank / | |
| | | | Institutions in India | | Financial Institutions in India | |
| | | | Institutions in mula | | i manciai msututions III IIIula | |
| 116 | | | | | | |
| 110 | | | 1 | | J | |

| | B3 (Annexure A1 - Eligibility Criteria) | year' experi implementa Manageme implementa | ation/support of Lead nt System and should have ed Lead Management one Bank / Financial | | Request BOB Financial Solutions Ltd to consider the same as "The Bidder should have at least One year' experience in implementation/support of Lead Management System and should have implemented Lead Management System in one Bank / Financial Institutions in India / abroad | No Change in RFP |
|------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 110 | - | - | | What is the expected volume of Leads / Card Applications per day? | | Expected lead volume is 4-8 leads per day. |
| 118 119 | | | | M/hat is the conversion rate? | | Conversion rate is subjective |
| | 2.2 Year on Year Volume Projections | 9 - | | What is the conversion rate? Based on our understanding following personas will use the LMS - Please confirm the number of such users and will they need both web browser and mobile app based access to LMS? 1. CREs or Field Sales + Ops team on payroll of BSFL ~ 200 2. Branch Managers on payroll of BOB ~ 6000 3. Off-Payroll Sales Exec e.g. DSAs, Contractual Employees ~1000 | | Conversion rate is subjective The understanding of the users of LMS is variable and the assumtion taken is near the current requirement. It is bound to change depending on business requirements. |
| 120 | | - | | Please share how many documents will be uploaded by the CRE per card application? | | Document upload is not required initially. We can use as a tool to take certain documents from customer at POS. |
| 121 | | - | | Are there any pre-underwriting checks which will be done by integrating with third parties before passing on the application to COS like CIBIL Score? | | After QDE NSDL and CIBIL check to be done. There might be change basis the business decision. |
| 122 | | - | | Besides COS what are the other systems of integration for e.g. marketing tool, etc.? Are there APIs available for integrating with such applications? | | We are asssuming that LMS partner APIs are developed and open for customizations. At BFSL few APIs are ready and few more under developmnent |
| 124 | - | - | | How much historical data need to be migrated and set up in LMS? Please share number of records? | | Approx. 6months data, but there might be change basis the business requirement. |
| 125 | | - | | What all master data needs to be set up on LMS - Products etc.? | | need to discuss and clarify based on the masters available in your system |

| | | | I | | 1 |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----|--|--|---|
| | - | - | - | What are the key KPIs of the field | GEO TAGGING WITH VISITS TO BRANCHES |
| | | | | Sales/CREs which need to be tracked? | TO BE TRACKED. MR. RAVI DOSHI TO |
| | | | | Do we need to do Attendance | CONFIRM FOR INCENTIVE AND OTHER KPI |
| | | | | Tracking, Incentive Calc etc.? | |
| 126 | | | | _ | |
| | Lead | 11 | Integration with BRE System | This mentions a BRE system which has | There can be other interface based on |
| | Manageme | | | to be integrated with proposed LMS | business requirements. |
| | nt | | | tool. Can you please share details | |
| 1 | Requireme | | | around it. Will there be only | |
| | nt | | | integration between LMS & BRE or also | |
| | | | | some process / logical flow between | |
| | | | | the two? | |
| 127 | | | | the two: | |
| | 21 (RFP | 13 | The selected partner application should | Request clarification on the | BFSL is ok for Cloud or on-prim solution |
| | Document) | 13 | be available on either on cloud or on- | requirement and if this is mandatory? | Broze is ok for clodd of on print soldtion |
| | Document | | prim. It should be compatible to migrate | | |
| | | | | _ | |
| | | | - | proposed LMS tool be deployed on a | |
| | | | data migration in such cases should be | public cloud infrastructure? | |
| | | | seamless. | | |
| 128 | | | | | ly. |
| | - | - | - | Assume capabilities around OCR, | Yes |
| | | | | Branded Mobile App, SMS/WhatsApp | |
| | | | | notifications etc. will be handled | |
| | | | | outside the LMS tool. | |
| 129 | | | | | |
| | 1.7 | 7 | The services of selected vendor can | Contractual terms needs to be | OK |
| | | | automatically be availed by Bank of | discussed with Bank and other | |
| | | | Baroda and all its subsidiaries, basis | subsidiaries before availing the | |
| | | | terms and conditions of the | services | |
| | | | requirement and in line with the cost | | |
| 130 | | | identified for the said RFP | | |
| | 2.2 | 9 | Year on Year Volume Projection | Does this includes off role employees | SALES STAFF COUNT WILL BE DEPENDENT |
| 131 | | | 1. Sales Staff Count - 1000/1500/2200 | as well. | ON BUSINESS REQUIREMENT IN FUTURE |
| | 2.2 | 9 | Bidders are requested to submit | There needs to be a minimum | Start with 200 users (zonal wise), and |
| | | | commercial proposal considering above | commitment on number of users to | incremental volume 100 users |
| | | | | start with as the price of the product | |
| | | | Materials. The calculation will be | will be per user | |
| | | | considered for arriving at TCO for | Will be per user | |
| | | | evaluation purpose however the | | |
| | | | | | |
| 132 | | | payment will solely be based on actuals. | | |
| 132 | 2.3 | 0 | Data Integration | In which application is the current | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | 2.3 | 9 | Data Integration | customer data stored? | partner for LMS |
| | | | | | partite 101 LIVIS |
| | | | | Does the application allow API | |
| | | | | integration or any other method of | |
| | | | | integration? | |
| 133 | | | | | lune to the |
| | 2.3 | 9 | Data Integration | Do you intend to store customer data | YES if possible . |
| | | | | in the Lead Management System? If | |
| | | | | Yes that is the current customer count | |
| | | | | | |
| 134 | | | | | |
| | 2.4 | 10 | Training | Is Train the Trainer approach accepted | Acceptable |
| 135 | | | | by BOB? | |
| · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| | | | T. |
|-----|---|--|---|
| 3 | 10 The vendor shall be required to | As long as it is the boundary of the | okay |
| | undertake such tasks, render requisit | e overall implementation scope agreed | |
| | services and make available such | | |
| | resources as may be required for the | | |
| | successful completion of the entire | | |
| | project at no additional cost to the | | |
| 136 | Company. | | |
| 4 | 10 The Vendor has to size the Solution | Can a SAAS based cloud solution be | BFSL is open SaaS based product |
| | covering hardware, software & servi | ces proposed? | |
| | to ensure availability, scalability, | | |
| | redundancy and performance of the | | |
| | solution, and to meet technical and | | |
| | functional requirements as per the | | |
| | terms of the RFP within the time fran | ne | |
| | prescribed by the Company | | |
| 137 | | | |
| 4 | 10 In the event the proposed solution fa | ils Please share the SLA Matrix | Refer Addendum |
| | to meet the Service Level Agreement | | |
| | (SLA) service levels and the scope an | d | |
| | objectives of the RFP (and addendun | n), | |
| | the Bidder will have to upgrade, mod | lify | |
| | or replace the solution at no addition | nal | |
| | cost to the Company. | | |
| 138 | | | |
| 3 | 11 Leads which are generating from | Which Marketing application is being | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | Marketing application are upload (CS | used currently? | partner for LMS |
| | File)manually into LMS by respective | | |
| | user (Data entry team / admin) | | |
| 139 | | | |
| 3 | 11 Bi-directional integration with COS, t | o Which Card Origination is BOB using? | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | get application status and update int | 0 | partner for LMS |
| 140 | LMS tool | | |
| 3 | 11 Integration with BRE System | Which Business Rule Engine has been | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| 141 | | implemented? | partner for LMS |
| 3 | 12 Setup interface for Off role Employee | What will be the user count of off role | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | | employees? Are these users already | partner for LMS |
| | | considered in Point No 2.2 | |
| 142 | | | |
| 4 | * | nigh What are the current volumes of leads | CURRENTLY THE SOURCES OF LEADS ARE |
| | volumes (> 1million web hits per day | | BRANCH, SALES TEAM, CNETRAL |
| | | Marketing are their any other sources | MARKETING/ SALES/ ORGANISATION |
| | | for lead generation? | INITIATIVES. ACCOMODATING FUTURE |
| | | | SOURCES FUNCTIONALITY TO BE PRESENT IN |
| 143 | | | LMS. |

| | 18 | 13 Company during the period of the contract, based on its technical and functional requirements may intend to add additional third party application and interfaces to the LMS. Vendor has to extend all necessary support and assistance for addition to the Solution | Overall scope with modules, channels of integration, integration with external application etc. needs to be factored to propose implementation cost. Any integrations which is not factored in the agreed scope needs to go through Change Management | okay |
|-----|-----|---|---|------------------------|
| | | with the required third party applications and interfaces desired by the Company at no additional costs | Process. | |
| 144 | | ' ' | | |
| | 21 | The selected partner application should be available on either on cloud or onprim. It should be compatible to migrate from cloud to on-prim and vis-a-vis. The data migration in such cases should be | | Yes |
| 145 | 3.4 | seamless 16 Licenses | As part of commercial Bill of Material, we shall quote for the software license | okay |
| 146 | | | cost, however, we request BoB Financials to procure the license directly from the authorized re-seller of the OEM/ directly from the OEM. In case of being selected, we shall do the complete implementation as well as support as per the scope of the RFP and we shall be the single point of contact for all deliverable. If BoB Financials has already an agreement with the particular OEM that we propose, then this will also help BoB Financials to achieve a less TCO. | |
| | 4.2 | 18 Terms of payment as indicated in the Purchase Contract that will be issued by the company on the selected Vendor will be final and binding on the vendor and no interest will be payable by the Company on outstanding amounts under any circumstances | Please share the Purchase terms of the payments for licenses, one time implementation & Support. It is really important for bidders to understand the overall payment terms | Already answered above |
| 147 | | | | |

| | | 1 | |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 4.3 | 18 The Vendor must provide and quote for the product and services as desired by the Company as mentioned in this RFP. Any products / services not proposed to be provided by the Vendor will result in the proposal being incomplete, which may lead to disqualification of the Vendor | As part of commercial Bill of Material, we shall quote for the software license cost, however, we request BoB Financials to procure the license directly from the authorized re-seller of the OEM/ directly from the OEM. In case of being selected, we shall do the complete implementation as well as support as per the scope of the RFP and we shall be the single point of contact for all deliverable. If BoB Financials has already an agreement with the particular OEM that we propose, then this will also help BoB Financials to achieve a less TCO. | No Sub contracting |
| 148 | | | |
| 4.4 | 19 Performance Guarantee | If BOB Financials procure licenses directly through authorized reseller for the benefit of better commercials. Will be fine to assume that Performance Guarantee would be 10% of Implementation & Support during the contract period | No Change in RFP |
| 149 4.5 | 20 The terms and conditions as specified in the RFP, addenda and corrigenda issued by the Company thereafter are final and binding on the Bidders. In the event the Bidder is not willing to accept the terms and conditions of Company, the Bidder may, in sole discretion of Company, be disqualified. | and agreed mutually at the time of | No Change in RFP |
| 4.6 | The Bidder shall perform its obligations under this RFP as an independent contractor, and may engage subcontractors (with requisite prior permission from bob card applicable, if any) to perform any of the deliverables or services. | Can we subcontract software licenses and hardware purchase(if any) to an authorized reseller for the benefit of better commercials to BOB? | No Subcontracting allowed |
| a 152 | 1 Annexure 2 | Appendix 12 - Experience letter format is missing | Kindly provide on company letter head |
| 153 | Addendix 02 - Data Migration | What is the volume of current data to be considered for data migration activity | Can be shared with shortlisted vendor. |
| 154 | General Query | Could you consider electronic submission for RFP Bid given the current situation? | Yes |

| | 4.4 | 10 | Dawfarmana Cuarantas | It is no out in and that the appropriate will | DDC will be for 2 years |
|-----|----------|-----|--|---|--|
| | 4.4 | 19 | Performance Guarantee | It is mentioned that the contract will | PBG will be for 3 years |
| | | | | be for 3 Years hence can you revise on | |
| | | | | holding the performance guarantee as | |
| | | | | per the contract tenure | |
| 155 | | | | | |
| 133 | | | General Query | What will be the warranty period post | We would require 75-90 days warranty |
| | | | , | implementation of LMS module? | and the state of t |
| | | | | Can we consider 4 week post which | |
| | | | | the support contract can commence | |
| | | | | the support contract can commence | |
| | | | | | |
| 156 | | | | | |
| | | | General Query | In which application will final customer | COS (Card Origination System) |
| | | | | onboarding happen? | |
| 157 | | | Ganaral Ouany | Is Customer Service part of the | NO |
| 158 | | | General Query | Is Customer Service part of the | INO |
| | Appendix | | Al Powered | requirement? Are their any use case already defined | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | 01 | | Airoweieu | Will the use case of AI be around Lead | partner for LMS |
| (| 01 | | | Management? | partiler for Livis |
| 159 | | | | ivianagement: | |
| 100 | | | General Query | What are the types of product? Will | LEAD PROCESS WILL BE SAME FOR ALL |
| | | | , | the lead process be different for each | PRODUCTS |
| 160 | | | | product? | |
| | | | General Query | Please provide extension till 30th | No Extension |
| 161 | | | | August for final Bid submission | |
| | 26 | 5.9 | Submission of bids – Physical submission | Ref to Section 1.7 where an exemption | Security Deposit is non - refundable |
| | | | to AVP procurement as mentioned | is made for physical pre-bid meeting, | |
| | | | under Section 1.7 (not 1.4 as mentioned | the same can be requested for | |
| | | | in the RFP). | technical and commercial bid openings | |
| | | | Cannot be done either by post or | and presentation. EMD is to be | |
| | | | | separately kept. | |
| | | | Authorization letter should be carried | | |
| | | | for al bid openings. | It is not mentioned when Security | |
| | | | | Deposit of unqualified bidders will be | |
| 162 | | | Deposit and EMD details. | returned. | |
| 162 | 5 | 1 2 | Scope of work - Bank has 38 area offices | Team to clarify whether on premor | BFSL is looking for cloud solution |
| | 3 | 1.2 | _ · | cloud is sought by Bank. As per Page 13- | Di 32 i3 looking for cloud solution |
| | | | regd office. The company intend to host | | |
| | | | | application should be available on | |
| | | | Virtual Data Centre. | either on cloud or on-prim. It should | |
| | | | | be compatible to | |
| | | | | migrate from cloud to on-prim and vis- | |
| | | | | a-vis. The data migration in such cases | |
| | | | | should be seamless. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 163 | | | | | |

| | Q | 2.3 | Tenure - The tenure of the contract | The pricing to be provided is for 3 | | Pricing is to be provided as per scope of |
|-----|----|----------------|---|---|--------|--|
| | 3 | 2.3 | initially would be for 3years from the | years but the Performance Guarantee | | work, PBG will be for a period of 3 years |
| | | | | is for 5 years + 6 months (Page 19- | | work, i be will be for a period of 3 years |
| | | | | Clause II). If the contract does not get | | |
| | | | | extended, the Performance Guarantee | | |
| | | | agreed terms. | should be returned. | | |
| | | | agreed terms. | isiloula de returnea. | | |
| | | | | Performance Guarantee is 10% of the | | |
| | | | | contract value which is 5 times of the | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Year 1 TCO. However, Year 1 TCO | | |
| | | | | includes one time implementation | | |
| | | | | charges. Team could clarify the same. | | |
| | | | | This could be discussed with the Bank | | |
| | | | | post award, if necessary. | | |
| | | | | The Performance Guarantee shall be | | |
| | | | | invoked without notice to the Bidder. | | |
| | | | | Invoked without hotice to the Bidder. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 164 | | | | | | |
| 104 | | Salient noints | Timelines – Timelines are not | At Appendix 04: Bid Undertaking Letter | | No Change in RFP |
| | | Salient points | mentioned in the RFP. | : We confirm and understand that BOB | | No change in Kir |
| | | | inentioned in the Ki i . | Financial Solutions Limited has an | | |
| | | | As timelines are sought from Bidder and | aggressive rollout schedule and we will | | |
| | | | also set out as mentioned under the | adhere to the rollout schedule at no | | |
| | | | RFP, this is to be clarified. | additional cost/burden to BOB | | |
| | | | in F, this is to be clarified. | Financial Solutions Limited | | |
| | | | Liquidated damages to the amount of | i manciai solutions Limiteu | | |
| | | | 0.5% of value of contract per week of | | | |
| 165 | | | delay capped at 10%. | | | |
| 103 | | Salient noints | Payment Terms - Not provided for in the | This clarification is to be sought. We | | Already answered above |
| | | | | have no clarity on payment terms or | | 7 meday answered above |
| | | | made. It also mentions that it may be in | | | |
| | | | the Purchase Contract, which may be | invoices are raised. | | |
| | | | available only at a later stage. It is also | | | |
| | | | mentioned that no deviation can be | | | |
| 166 | | | sought. | | | |
| | | Salient points | Service Level Agreement - This is | This clarification is to be sought as | | SLA is attached |
| | | 1 - 10 | | payments and liability are linked to the | | |
| 167 | | | | same. | | |
| | 34 | 8.2 | Indemnity and Limitation on Liability – | These are HIGH RISK clauses as there is | Modify | Already answered above |
| | | | Bidder is liable for all claims by Bank, | no extent of limitation on the Supplier | | ' |
| | | | directly and indirectly. There is no | for any and all claims. Some of the line | | |
| | | | | items for indemnity also include | | |
| | | | other hand, Bidder is not liable for any | 'deficiency in Services', 'loss of data', | | |
| | | | indirect claims. | 'Any transaction contemplated under | | |
| | | | | this RFP/subsequent agreement'. This | | |
| | | | | clause shall survive the expiry of the | | |
| | | | | Agreement. | | |
| | | | | _ | | |
| 168 | | | | | | |

| | | | | T | T |
|-----|--------------|--|---|---|---|
| | 36 | 8.5 | Termination— Only Bank can terminate the Contract with or without cause. In the event of a termination of the Contract the Bidder has to fully | It is unclear what the extent of compensation would be contemplated here, especially if they have to transition to another Vendor. Also, this | No Change in RFP |
| | | | | is over and above all costs and liquidated damages that have been borne by Bidder. | |
| | | | the Project, till such time of termination and for any removal and/or relocation that may | Further, Bidder shall continue to provide Services for 12 months | |
| | | | be required by the Company following such termination. | thereafter. | |
| 169 | | 0.42 | Audit and by the description of | Town has hall a make of the contract has | Alexade and alexan |
| 170 | | | the Bank. These audits may include, but are not limited to, a review of: access and authorization procedures, physical security controls, backup and recovery procedures, security controls and program change controls. Access is to be provided to selected bidder's facilities, installations, technical resources, operations, documentation, records, databases and personnel. Additional costs - Bidder to ensure that all costs are mentioned in the bid as Bank shall, at several instances mentioned that 'no additional cost' will be paid. No price escalation is allowed for 5 years. Also, in case of transition to | Team to take note of the extent to which audit is to allowed. Please explain | Already answered above Please refer RFP |
| 171 | | | a new vendor on termination, Team to understand the costs that would be | | |
| 171 | Documenta | Page 2- | B4- Incomplete sentence - Self- | Team to clarify if there is anything | NO |
| | | • | declaration to this effect on company's | , , , | |
| | | Eligibility | letter head signed by company's | | |
| 172 | | Criteria | authorized signatory as per | | |
| | | Page 3 – Annexure 01 Eligibility | Preference may be assigned to bidders that utilize their own staff (and do not further outsource/ sub-contract) | . However, no details of personnel have been sought in the RFP. | Please provide self declaration in this case. |
| 173 | | Criteria | Tarther outsource, sub-contract, | | |
| | | Annexure 02 | Credentials for under implementation | Please confirm. | No |
| | tion related | | projects will not be considered. | | |
| | - | Strengths – | | | |
| 174 | | LMS | | | |

| Г | Documenta | RFP | Errors found- References to 'Loan | Please clarify | This is error |
|-----|-------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| | ion related | | Management', 'Facilities Management' | i lease claimy | 11113 13 61101 |
| | oints | document | are assumed to be errors in the | | |
| ۲ | Julius | | document and not related to Lead | | |
| 175 | | | | | |
| 1/3 | 1 | 1 1 | Management. Complete set of tender documents may | Can this amount be transferred online | Yes refer addendum |
| | 7 | 1.1 | | or cheque is must? If yes to online | resterer addeniadin |
| | | | the website of the Company, the cost of | 1 | |
| | | | | transfer, please provide offilite details. | |
| | | | tender document should be paid in the | | |
| | | | form of Bankers' Cheque / Demand Draft for | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | [INR 1500/-] favoring BOB Financial | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Solutions Limited payable at Mumbai along with the bid responses. The | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Company reserves the right to reject any | | |
| 176 | | | or all offers without assigning any | | |
| 170 | ٥ | 2.3 | reason. Data Integration | what is the existing database size, | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | 7 | 2.3 | Data integration | which need to be migrated? | partner for LMS |
| 177 | | | | which need to be inigrated: | partiter for Livis |
| 1// | 9 | 2.3 | 1.The selected vendor will be | Will BOB FS make the date available in | This will be revealed post selection of the |
| | | | responsible for successful data | specific format required by vendor or | partner for LMS |
| | | | integration with existing customer data | this need to be handled by vendor | partition 101 21110 |
| 178 | | | available with the Company. | , | |
| | 11 | Lead | In case of physical application format, | to be handle by Vendor? | yes the tool should have functionality of |
| | | | data entry team (BOB Vendor team) | , | manual lead entry other than upload feature |
| | | | would manually insert Lead details from | | and branch lead entry |
| | | | physical Applications into LMS tool - | | , |
| | | | Physical Application #, Data Entry | | |
| | | | Operator ID, Branch Name, Lead Source, | | |
| | | | Campaign, Applicant details etc., | | |
| 179 | | | The state of the s | | |
| | 13 | 15 | 15.Mobile application should be | is if required to brand and color theme | Yes |
| | | | optimized for any screen size of the | the mobile app as per BOB FS? | |
| 180 | | | mobile device and tablet | | |
| | 13 | 17 | 17.The selected vendor should be | Please elaborate- third party utilities, | In the case where thirtd party integration is |
| | | | capable of providing cloud based LMS | testing, providing interfaces required | required or inetegration with with any other |
| | | | including but not limited to providing, | for the Solution. | internal system. |
| | | | third party utilities, testing, providing | | |
| 181 | | | interfaces required for the Solution. | | |
| | 13 | 21 | 21.The selected partner application | Yes available, but at extra cost. Is BOB | Please include the necessary commercials |
| | | | should be available on either on cloud | ready to pay or to be done free of | for BFSL to take decision. |
| | | | or on-prim . It should be compatible to | cost? | |
| | | | migrate from cloud to on-prim and vis-a- | | |
| | | | vis. The data migration in such cases | | |
| | | | | 1 | |
| 182 | | | should be seamless. | | |
| 182 | 13 | Interfaces & | should be seamless. | Is BOB FS going to provide APIs for all | We are asssuming that LMS partner APIs are |
| 182 | | Interfaces & Integration: | should be seamless. | Is BOB FS going to provide APIs for all third-party integrations? | We are asssuming that LMS partner APIs are developed and open for customizations. At |
| 182 | | | should be seamless. | 1 | = |

| | 13 Interfaces & | 7.The Solution must enable all | now interfaces (channels can be saided | BFSL assums that for few of the interfaces |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| | | | new interfaces/channels can be added, | |
| | Integration: | currently specified interfaces as well as allow for introduction of new | is BOB FS ready to pay or to be done free of cost? | there might be provision in base product. |
| | | | | For rest BFSL is okay. |
| 101 | | interfaces/channels as the case may be. | | |
| 184 | 14 Training | - | Please specify number of locations and | we can have both virtual and physical |
| | 14 11 a 11 11 11 11 11 11 | | | |
| | | | if you are ready for virtual training or | training depending on the type of audience |
| | | | physical presence is required during | and presence of team members. |
| 405 | | | training. | |
| 185 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | _ | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | |
|-------------|------|------|----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | İ | i |

| | _ | |
|--|---|--|
| | _ | |
| | _ | |
| | | |
| | | |